

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL,  
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI**

**Original Application No. 188/2014**

**Toxics Links Vs. U.O.I. & Ors.**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.D. SALVI, JUDICIAL MEMBER  
HON'BLE MR. RANJAN CHATTERJEE, EXPERT MEMBER**

<b>Present</b>	<b>Applicant</b>	<b>:Ms. Pallavi Talware, Adv.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 1</b>	<b>:Mr. Vivek Chib, Mr. Ankit Prakash, Advs.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 4</b>	<b>:Mr. Ashutosh, Adv, Ms Puja Katara</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 5</b>	<b>:Mr. N. Sai Vinod, Mr. Nikhil Nayyar, Advs.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 6</b>	<b>:Mr. Sanyam Saxena, Adv, Mr. Anil Shrivastava, Adv.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 7</b>	<b>:Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, Adv. (PCBA)</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 8</b>	<b>:Mr. G. Indira, Adv.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 9&amp; 38</b>	<b>:Mr. Rudreshwar Singh, Ms. Divya Singh, Mr. Gautam Singh, Advs.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 10</b>	<b>:Ms. Yogmaya Agnihotri, Adv.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 11</b>	<b>:Mr. Shubham Bhalla, Adv</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 13 &amp; 42</b>	<b>:Mr. Balender Sekhar, Adv., Mr. Dinesh Jindal, LO.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 16</b>	<b>:Mr. D.K. Thakur for HPPCB.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 18</b>	<b>:Mr. Jayesh Gaurav, Adv.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 20</b>	<b>:Mr. Reegan.S. Bel, Mr. Jogyscarya, Advs.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 22</b>	<b>:Mr. Tayendjam Momo Singh, Adv.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 23</b>	<b>:Mr. Pragyan Sharma, Mr. Ravikant, Mr. Pulkit Prakash, Advs.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 24</b>	<b>:Mr. K. Enatoli Seha, Adv.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 25</b>	<b>:Mr. A.K. Panda, Sr. Adv., Mr. P. Paikray, Adv., Mr. S. Panda, Adv.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 26</b>	<b>:Mr. Abhimanya Garg, Ms. Preeti, Advs.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 27</b>	<b>:Ms. Richa Kapoor, Adv.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 29</b>	<b>:Mr. Jayant Patel, Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, Adv.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 30</b>	<b>:Ms. Varsha Poddar, Adv.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 31</b>	<b>:Mr. Mukesh Verma, Adv.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 32</b>	<b>:Mr. Pradeep Mishra, Adv., Mr. Daleep Kumar Dhayani, Mr. Suraj, Advs.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 34</b>	<b>:Ms. Purna Singh, Mr. Prashant Mathur, Advs.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 35</b>	<b>:Mr. Samyam Saxena, Adv.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 36</b>	<b>:Mr. Deepika , Adv. Kankana Ghatowar, Adv.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 37</b>	<b>:G. Indira, Adv. Mr. K.V. Jagdishvaran, Adv.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 39</b>	<b>:Mr. C.D. Singh, AAG, Mr. Apoorv Kurup, Adv. Darpan Bhujan, Ms. Yogmaya Agnihotri, Advs.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 41</b>	<b>:Mr. D.K. Thakur, Deepak Jain, Alok Kumar, Advs.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 43</b>	<b>:Shri A.N.S. Nadkaini, Adv. General, Mr. D. Lawande, P. Danguli, A. Prabhudesai, Advs.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 44</b>	<b>:Ms. Antima Bazaz, G. M. Kawoosa, Advs.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 51</b>	<b>:Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Ms. Aparajita Mukherjee, Advs.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 44</b>	<b>:Mr. Anil Grover, AAG, Mr. Satish Kapoor, Mr. Rahul Khurana with</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 47</b>	<b>:Mr. Devashish Bhruka, Priyanka Sinha, Anu Tyagi, Advs.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 48</b>	<b>:Mr. G.M. Kawoosa, Adv., Mr. Anilima Bazaz, Adv.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 49</b>	<b>:Mr. Reegan. S. Bel, Adv., Mr. Jogy Scaria, Adv.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 55</b>	<b>:Mr. Abhimanyu Garg, Preety, Advs.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 56</b>	<b>:Mr. Ravi Kant, Ms. Richa Kapoor, Mr. Anil Soni, Advs.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 60</b>	<b>:Mr. Rahul Verma, AAG.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 61</b>	<b>:Ms. Savitri Pandey, Adv, Ms. Azma Parveen, Adv.</b>
	<b>Respondent No. 62</b>	<b>:Mr. Sapam Biswojit, Ms. Kalyani Advs.</b>
	<b>West Bengal P.C.B.</b>	<b>:Mr. Amit Agarwal, Adv.</b>
	<b>State of Sikkim</b>	<b>:Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv., Mr. K. Vijay Kumar, Adv.</b>
	<b>State of Himachal Pradesh</b>	<b>:Mr. Suryanaryana Singh, Addl.</b>
	<b>State of Karnataka</b>	<b>:Mr. Devraj Ashok, Adv.</b>

Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
<p>Item No. 11 August 4, 2015</p>	<p>Heard. Perused.</p> <p>We have before us the issue of formulating the scheme for environment friendly disposal of CFL and recovery of mercury and directed the CPCB, MoEF, Respondent no. 4-Electric Lamp and Components, Respondent No. 3-Bureau of Indian Standards and Applicant to come together and propose a scheme desired in the present case. We are told that this meeting has not taken place. The applicant is seeking time.</p> <p>The MoEF shall be the convener of the meeting and shall inform the concerned parties about the date and venue of the meeting at the earliest preferably within two weeks</p> <p>List this matter on 22<sup>nd</sup> September, 2015.</p> <p>.....,JM (U.D. Salvi)</p> <p>.....,EM (Ranjan Chatterjee)</p>